

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,016 02/03/2004		3/2004	CHIEN-SHENG YANG	12030-US-PA	2015
31561	7590	05/18/2005		EXAM	INER
JIANQ CI 7 FLOOR-		LECTUAL PR	SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
	LT ROAD, SE	CTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI,	100		2871		
TAIWAN				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/708,016	YANG, CHIEN-SI	HENG		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Schechter	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above; the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to be specification to the specification the specification is objected to be specifi	epted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or able of the drawn of the drawn or b) objected or b) objec	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received s have been received ity documents have b i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage		
	oo ino allabrica detailed Office action for a fist (or the certified copies	HOLIEGEIVEU.			
A440.ab	*/a\			•		
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Д. —	inu Curana (DTO 140)			
2)	re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) re No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/708,016

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a pixel structure device, classified in class 349, subclass 38.
 - II. Claims 13-25, drawn to a method of making a pixel structure device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The

 inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

 process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the device can be made by another and

materially different process, for instance without forming the active element, the scan

line, and the data line in this sequence.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Choose one of each pair:

- A1. A polysilicon TFT [see Figs. 2, 3, 5, and 6; cf. claims 2-5 and 15-20].
- A2. A amorphous silicon TFT [see Fig. 4; cf. claims 10, 11, 21, and 22].
- B1. The pixel electrode coupled to the active element through a conductive layer [see Figs. 2, 4, and 5; cf. claims 3 and 16].
- B2. The pixel electrode coupled directly to the active electrode [see Figs. 3 and 6; cf. claims 4, 5, 17, and 18].
- C1. The active element is directly coupled to the capacitor electrode or the transparent capacitor electrode [see Fig. 5; cf. claim 7].
- C2. The active element is coupled to the capacitor electrode or transparent capacitor electrode through the pixel electrode [see Fig. 6; cf. claim 8].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the claims not referred to above are believed to be generic with respect to each species.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,016

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Schechter whose telephone number is (571) 272-2302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Schechter
Andrew Schechter
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

10 May 2005